

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/441,199	11/16/1999	TAKANARI YAMAGUCHI	2185-0380P	3990
7590 03/25/2004			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/441,199	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and notes.	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the cor	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Serial No. 09/441,199

Art Unit 1711

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ota et al. (Translation of Japanese Patent 06-57008).

See the Office action of 9-26-03 at the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 et seq.

Claims 2 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Translation of Ota et al., cited above.

Serial No. 09/441,199

1711

Art Unit

See the Office action of 9-26-03 at the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 et seq.

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al., cited above in view of Fenton (USP 4,584,244).

See the Office action of 9-26-03 at page 5 line 1 et seq.

Claims 5 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants' arguments filed 12-03 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicants argue that the Examiner's interpretation of the sentence bridging pages 13 and 14 of Ota is incorrect and the "side feeder" does not melt knead material. Applicants allege that the phrase "and melt-kneads the component (C) by the side feeder," actually means that component "C" is actually melted by the extruder and not by the side feeder since the following sentence states that "so that a resin composition is manufactured by only one cycle of melt-kneading". However there is nothing contradictory to the disclosure that the resin composition is manufactured by only one cycle of melt kneading despite the fact that the side feeder melt kneads prior to feeding to the

Serial No. 09/441,199 Art Unit 1711

extruder.

extruder. It does not appear to the Examiner that melt kneading in a side feeder and an extruder would amount to two cycles of melt kneading in that a material which is already melted (such as by a side feeder) could not be said to be also melted in the extruder given that already melted material cannot be melted. Since a second melting does not take place, the reference can properly be said to teach a single cycle of melt kneading. The disclosure that only one cycle of melt kneading takes place merely means that Ota does not for instance melt knead and then cool and solidify or pelletize etc his composition prior to refeeding to the extruder. With regard to applicants' argument regarding the first sentence of paragraph 20 on page 13 which indicates that the extruder enables melt kneading, there is nothing contradictory regarding this disclosure and the disclosure in the sentence bridging pages 13 and 14 as interpreted by the Examiner. There is no reason that melt kneading cannot take place in the side feeder as well as in the

With regard to the first sentence of paragraph 21 on page 13 which discloses that a side feeder may be a vertical or horizontal type, even assuming for the sake of argument that applicants are correct that a vertical type side feeder is not capable of melt kneading, the sentence bridging pages 13 and 14

Serial No. 09/441,199
Art Unit 1711

does not disclose that a vertical type side feeder need be used.

Applicants' arguments regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 rely upon their arguments pertaining to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102. However the Examiner's position regarding the disclosure of Ota is that Ota discloses melt kneading component "C" by a side feeder and therefore meets the limitation of the claims which requires feeding an extruder with molten rubber.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE

Serial No. 09/441,199

Art Unit 1711

MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

J. Mullis:cdc

March 19, 2004

Jeffrey Nadits
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711